outcome given the near heroic efforts by Congress and the Joint Board elsewhere to encourage rural access to these services.

H. The Total Monetary Impact of Excluding Business Subscribers From
Universal Service Support.

While exact numbers may not yet be available, it is not difficult to estimate generally the impact of excluding major groups of rural business subscribers from universal service support would have on rural businesses. The impact would be enormous. Reliable estimates of the percentage of all subscribers that are businesses exist. The Commission estimates that businesses account for approximately 28% of all lines served by the largest telephone companies. The Rural Utilities Service reported that business subscribers represent approximately 19.4% of all their members' subscribers. The subscribers approximately 19.4% of all their members subscribers.

There are also reliable estimates of the total amount of universal service support.

Chairman Hundt has publicly estimated the total support necessary for an explicit universal service support mechanism to be in the \$6-12 billion range. The Hatfield and BCM2 proxy models indicate that universal service support would be, respectively \$5.3 billion and \$14.6 billion

³⁶ Federal Communications Commission, Statistics of Communications Common Carriers, 1994/5

³⁷ Rural Utilities Service, 1995 Statistical Report, Rural Telecommunications Borrowers at 8.

Combining these two sets of estimates yields an estimate of the overall impact of the Recommended Decision's proposed cuts in universal service support. If businesses account for approximately 20-25% of all subscribers, then eliminating all support for all businesses would shift somewhere between \$1.06 and \$3.65 billion in increased costs to rural businesses on an annual basis. Depending on the estimate, even the immediate impact of cutting support for multi-line businesses and reducing support for single-line businesses could easily mean a shift of a billion dollars or more each year to rural businesses.

Even though the Recommended Decision refused to select any one existing proxy model for use by the Commission, the various proxy models offer another way to estimate the impact of excluding rural businesses from universal service support. While the two primary proxy models – Hatfield and BCM2 – yield different cost estimates in many ways, they both show dramatic and relatively similar cost differentials between urban and rural areas. For example, the BCM2 model estimates the per line cost to serve areas with under 5 lines/square mile in Arizona to be \$136.08 while per line costs in areas with over 2,550 lines/square mile was \$23.66. Both proxy models project very significant rural/urban differentials – in this case approximately \$100/line/month.

I. The Recommended Decision's Proposed Cuts in Universal Service

Support Would Impose an Undue Burden on Rural Small Businesses

In sum, excluding major groups of rural small businesses and other rural institutions from universal service support would violate the principle of universal service for all Americans and have a serious impact on all rural consumers. It will yield rates for rural subscribers which are not reasonably comparable to rates for similar urban subscribers. These rates will also not be "affordable" for many rural small businesses.

The Office of Advocacy strongly recommends that the proposed cuts in universal service support for rural small businesses be withdrawn. These proposed cuts in universal service support are likely to lead to significant rate increases for many rural small businesses, discouraging economic development in rural areas and tending to further isolate rural America. Ultimately, the Joint Board's recommendations on this issue could have an adverse impact on the economic structure of rural America.

III. The Joint Board and the Commission Should Reduce Subscriber Line Charges for Multi-Line Small Businesses as Well As for Single-Line Small Businesses.

Section XII of the Recommended Decision addresses several issues involving the subscriber line charge (SLC) and the common carrier line charge (CCL), proposing, *inter alia*, to reduce the SLC for residences and single-line businesses to reflect half of the reduction in long term support and pay telephone payments that have resulted from the 1996 Act. The Office of Advocacy commends the Joint Board for this proposed reduction. Reducing the SLC is likely to advance the goals of universal service among

these subscribers. The Office of Advocacy also concurs with other commenters such as the AARP that further cuts in the SLC cap are warranted. As AARP indicated, the cap has not decreased since being instituted in its current form while costs to provide telephone service have declined steadily since then.

The Office of Advocacy is troubled, however by the Recommended Decision's failure to recommend any reduction in the SLC for other small businesses. As indicated supra, a large number of multi-line businesses are small businesses which in many cases are as vulnerable to rate increases as single-line businesses. The Recommended Decision justifies an SLC reduction for single-line businesses by observing that they are "central to the provision of universal service."38 While this is certainly true, the same logic would apply to a large number of multi-line businesses who are small businesses. Excluding small businesses that are multi-line subscribers from a SLC reduction when they already pay almost twice the SLC that single-line businesses pay only further shifts the costs of maintaining the network to these small businesses. Such a shift will add a further cost burden on many small businesses who are least able to recover these costs from their customers. If the Joint Board or the Commission is to distinguish between groups of telephone subscribers for the purposes of SLC reductions, it should distinguish between small businesses that are vulnerable to these incremental cost increases and larger businesses which can more easily absorb the costs or pass them on to their customers. Making the proper distinction here would become all the more important. if for some

³⁸ Recommended Decision at ¶ 769.

reason the Joint Board's recommendation to lower the SLC is rejected by the Commission and the SLC is instead increased.

IV. The Absence of a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Effectively Excludes Many
Small Businesses From Participating in the Joint Board's and Commission's
Process.

Although not technically required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the absence of a regulatory flexibility analysis in the Recommended Decision severely handicaps the decision's analysis of issues of great importance to small business and ultimately constrainsmany small businesses from playing any meaningful role in this proceeding. The lack of a regulatory flexibility analysis effectively silences great deal of potential input from small I businesses that would otherwise have contributed to the Joint Board's and the Commission's analysis in this proceeding

The complexity and importance of this proceeding argue strongly in favor of including a regulatory flexibility analysis in the Recommended Decision. Reform of universal service support mechanisms is one of the centerpieces of the 1996 Act. It is a vastly complex subject, requiring a mastery of some of the most arcane portions of the Commission's jurisdiction. Billions of dollars of subsidies to rural, high-cost areas are at stake. Rural small businesses stand to lose a significant portion of the subsidies that have long kept their rates reasonably comparable with urban rates. Without the aid of a regulatory flexibility analysis, many affected small businesses have little hope of

understanding the process enough to make their voice heard – and ultimately to get their needs met.

The timing of the release of the Recommended Decision in the overall universal service process also argues strongly for the inclusion of a regulatory flexibility analysis. Up to now, the only analysis of this proposed rule's affect on small business has been the cursory and broad Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis that accompanied the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. It gave small businesses little real indication of how the proposed rule would affect their interests. Moreover, the Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis will come too late to be anything more than a *post mortem* and a possible ground for appeal. In the meantime, the Joint Board and the Commission will hear primarily from large businesses, many of whose interests are diametrically opposed to those of small business providers and subscribers in this proceeding.

The absence of any regulatory flexibility analysis was probably most keenly felt in the Recommended Decision's treatment of the extent of universal service support for rural small businesses. The Joint Board's proposed decision in this portion of the decision alone could cost rural small businesses a billion or more dollars in support annually. Absent any regulatory flexibility analysis, the Joint Board's analysis lacked any real understanding of how rural small businesses would be affected by its proposed cuts. No significant alternatives to these cuts appear to have been considered. Ultimately, small businesses may pay a big price for this near complete inattention to their needs.

A regulatory flexibility analysis would also have enhanced and possibly even altered the Joint Board's discussion of and decision on subscriber line charges. While the Joint Board proposed to lower residential subscriber line charges from their current levels, it remained completely silent on the subject of any similar reduction in business subscriber line charges. The Joint Board attempted no explanation why one set of charges should come down and the other remain constant. Had the Joint Board prepared a regulatory flexibility analysis, these and other issues important to small business would have been addressed.

In sum, this proceeding will have an enormous impact on small businesses – perhaps the most of any of the proceedings to implement the 1996 Act. To ignore any systemic analysis of the decision's impact on small businesses is irresponsible public policy. Ultimately, it undermines the economic and policy foundations of the relevant portions of the Recommended Decision.

V. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Office of Advocacy recommends the Commission adopt rules consistent with the suggestions offered in this comment.

Respectfully submitted:

Jere W. Glover

Chief Counsel

David W. Zesiger

Assistant Chief Counsel

for Telecommunications

APPENDIX A

Increase in Monthly Local Service Revenues Due to Eliminating Support Mechanisms for the OPASTCO Study Group LECs

State	1992 Local Service Revenues per Subscriber per Month (A)	Additional 1992 Monthly Local Service Revenues Needed (B)	1992 Revised Monthly Local Service Revenues (C)=(A)+(B)	Percentage Increase in Monthly Local Service Revenues Required (D)
Alabama	\$18.94	\$11.48	\$30.42	60.61%
Alaska	\$20.94	\$13.79	\$34.73	65.85%
Arizona	\$21.05	\$9.64	\$30.69	45.80%
Arkansas	\$16.71	\$11.95	\$28.66	71.51%
California	\$20.64	\$28.13	\$48.77	136.29%
Colorado	\$21.31	\$14.79	\$36.10	69.40%
Connecticut	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA
Delaware	NA	NA.	NA NA	NA.
Florida	\$16.77	\$13.55	\$30.32	80.80%
Georgia	\$18.12	\$13.97	\$32.09	77.10%
Hawaii	NA	NA	NA	77.10% NA
Idaho	\$14.51	\$22.86	\$37.37	157.55%
Illinois	\$14.51 NA	922.80 NA	\$57.57 NA	
Indiana	\$16.19			NA
	•	\$8.56	\$24.75	52.87%
lowa Kan sa s	\$13.92	\$10.48 \$14.50	\$24.40	75.29%
	\$13.55	\$14.52	\$28.07	107.16%
Kentucky	NA And An	NA	NA •	NA
Louisiana	\$21.95	\$22.29	\$44.24	101.55%
Maine	\$11.09	\$14.54	\$25.63	131.11%
Maryland	NA	NA	NA	NA
Massachusetts	NA	NA	NA	NA
Michigan	\$11.31	\$8.56	\$19.87	75. 69 %
Minnesota	\$15.25	\$7 .77	\$23.02	50.95%
Mississippi	\$21.40	\$17.96	\$39.36	83.93%
Missouri	\$13.91	\$12.11	\$26.02	87.06%
Montana	\$13.39	\$18.31	\$31.70	136.74%
Nebraska	\$12.90	\$19.93	\$32.83	154.50%
Nevada	\$15.56	\$15.30	\$30.86	98.33%
New Hampshire	\$11.20	\$10.84	\$22.04	96.79%
New Jersey	NA	NA	NA	NA
New Mexico	\$16.26	\$46.96	\$63.22	288.81%
New York	\$16.33	\$9.82	\$26.15	60.13%
North Carolina	\$19.07	\$4.87	\$23.94	25.54%
North Dakota	\$14.22	\$24.23	\$38.45	170.39%
Ohio	\$18.31	\$3.57	\$21.88	19.50%
Oklahoma	\$13.94	\$19.90	\$33.84	142.75%
Oregon	\$15.99	\$13.32	\$29.31	83.30%
Pennsylvania	\$12.09	\$12.87	\$24.96	106.45%
Rhode Island	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA
South Carolina	\$18.37	\$6.43	\$24.80	35.00%
South Dakota	\$11.85	\$16.35	\$28.20	137.97%
ennessee	\$16.67	\$6.16	\$22.83	
exas	\$15.55	\$27.39	\$42.94	36.95%
Jtah				176.14%
fermont	\$12.60 \$15.15	\$16.53 \$12.53	\$29.13	131.19%
rginia	\$15.15 \$13.05	\$13.53 \$13.80	\$28.68	89.31%
Vashington	\$13.05 \$13.10	\$12.89	\$25.94	98.77%
	\$13.10 \$05.56	\$12.84	\$25.94	98.02%
Vest Virginia	\$25.56	\$23.81	\$49.37	93.15%
Visconsin	\$13.49	\$3.96	\$17.45	29.36%
Vyoming	\$16.05	\$19.21	\$35.26	119.69%
otal	\$15.91	\$12.84	\$28.75	80.70%

APPENDIX B

Average, Highest, and Lowest Shifts of Costs to the Local Jurisdiction Due to Eliminating Support Mechanisms for the OPASTCO Study Group LECs

State	Average Shift to Local Jurisdiction (A)	Highest Shift to Local Jurisdiction (B)	Lowest Shift to Local Jurisdiction (C)
		\$26.27	\$4.48
Alabama	\$11.48 \$12.70	· ·	·
Alaska Arinana	\$13.79	\$130.23 \$46.84	\$1.56 \$3.44
Arizona	\$9.64	\$36.53	\$6.91
Arkansas	\$11.95		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
California	\$28.13 \$14.70	\$71.23	\$16.96
Colorado	\$14.79	\$58.73	\$5.22
Connecticut	NA	NA NA	NA NA
Delaware	NA 010.55	NA	NA 20 50
Florida	\$13.55 \$40.07	\$21.69	\$8.59
Georgia	\$13.97	\$25.26	\$6.13
Hawaii	NA ****	NA ATT 10	NA 20.00
daho	\$22.86	\$77.48	\$8.29
Illinois	NA	NA •••••	NA
Indiana	\$8.56	\$26 .01	\$5.48
owa	\$10.48	\$28.50	\$8.61
Kansas	\$14.52	\$44.67	\$5.14
Kentucky	NA	NA • · · · · · ·	NA
_ouisiana	\$22.29	\$47.52	\$12.60
Maine	\$14.54	\$23.81	\$11.03
Maryland	NA	NA	NA
Massachusetts	NA	NA	NA
Michigan	\$8.56	\$31.44	\$5.34
Minnesota	\$7.77	\$16.58	\$6 .31
Mississippi	\$17.96	\$42.63	\$14.58
Missouri	\$12.11	\$29.66	\$4.86
Montana	\$18.31	\$50.64	\$9.64
Nebraska	\$19.93	\$42 .13	\$11.26
Vevada	\$15.30	\$86 .36	\$7.78
New Hampshire	\$10.84	\$100.40	\$5.86
New Jersey	NA ·	NA	NA
New Mexico	\$46.96	\$126.08	\$10.55
lew York	\$9.82	\$6 4.93	\$4.45
North Carolina	\$4.87	\$15.25	\$1.26
North Dakota	\$24.23	\$37.06	\$13.49
Ohio	\$3.57	\$15.31	\$3.09
Oklahoma	\$19.90	* \$48 .15	\$11.59
Oregon	\$13.32	\$163.48	\$5.63
Pennsylvania	\$12.87	\$15.19	\$8.29
Phode Island	NA NA	NA	NA
outh Carolina	\$6.43	\$29.89	\$2.51
outh Dakota	\$16.35	\$62.63	\$10.63
ennessee	\$6.16	\$11.99	\$4.34
exas	\$27.39	\$180.10	\$11.48
Itah	\$16.53	\$54.03	\$7.16
ermont	\$13.53	\$17.90	\$9.46
irginia	\$12.89	\$12.89	\$12.89
/ashington	\$12.84	\$36 .15	\$6.87
Vest Virginia	\$23.81	\$27.70	\$23.28
Visconsin	\$3.96	\$16.12	\$1.49
Vyoming	\$19.21	\$25.65	\$11.60
otal	\$12.84	\$180.10	\$1.26

APPENDIX C

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jeanne K. Bishel, do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing
Comment by the Office of Advocacy, United States Small Business Adminstration was
served on this day of December, 1996 by first-class United States mail, postage
prepaid, to the persons in the list attached hereto.

Jeanne K. Bishel

The Honorable Reed E. Hundt, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. - Room 814 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Susan Ness, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. - Room 832 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Julia L. Johnson Commissioner Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 The Honorable Kenneth McClure, Vic Chairman Missouri Public Service Commission 301 W. High Street, Suite 530 Jefferson City, MO 65102

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder, Commissioner South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capital Avenue Pierre, SD 57501

Whiting Thayer
Federal Communications Commission
200 L Street, N. W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20036

The Honorable Andrew C. Barrett, Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. - Room 826 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Sharon L. Nelso: Chairman
Washington Utilities ar
Transportation Commission
P. O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Martha S. Hogerty
Public Counsel for the State of
Missouri
P. O. Box 7800
Harry S. Truman Building, Room 250
Jefferson City, MO 65102

The Honorable Rachelle B. Chon Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N. W., Room 844 Washington, D.C. 20054 Robert Loube Federal Communications Commission 2100 M Street, NW Room 8914 Washington, DC 20554 Mark Long Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald Gunter Building Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Tejal Mehta
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, NW
Room 8625
Washington, DC 20554

John Morabito
Deputy Division Chief
Accounting and Audits
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW
Suite 812
Washington, DC 20554

John Nakahata
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Chairman
1919 M Street, NW
Room 814
Washington, DC 20554

Lee Palagyi Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504

Kimberly Parker Federal Communications Commission 2100 M Street NW Room 8609 Washington, DC 20554 Barry Payne
Indiana Office of the Consumer Counsel
100 North Senate Avenue
Room N501
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2208

Richard Smith
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, NW
Room 8605
Washington, DC 20554

Lori Wright
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, NW
Room 8603
Washington, DC 20554

Alex Belinfante Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N. W. Washington, D.C. 20054 Paul E. Pederson, State Staff Chair Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Truman State Office Building Jefferson City, MO 65102

Eileen Benner Idaho Public Utilities Commission P. O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0074 William Howden
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20036

Debra M. Kriete
Pennsylvania Public Utilities
Commission
P. O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Larry Povich
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D.C. 20054

Deborah Dupont, Federal Staff Chair Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N. W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Charles Bolle South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capital, 500 E. Capital Avenue Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Lorraine Kenyon Alaska Public Utilities Commission 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501 Clara Kuehn
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20036

The Honorable Sandra Makeeff Iowa Utilities Board Lucas State Office Building Des Moines, IA 50319 Michael A. McRae D.C. Office of the People's Counsel 1133 15th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

Terry Monroe New York Public Service Commission Three Empire Plaza Albany, NY 12223 Mark Nadel Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N. W. Washington, D.C. 20054

The Honorable Samuel Loudenslager Arkansas Public Service Commission P. O. Box 400 Little Rock, AR 72203-0400

Philip F. McClelland Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

Rafi Mohammed Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 812 Washington, D.C. 20036 Andrew Mulitz Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 257 Washington, D.C. 20036

Gary Oddi Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 257 Washington, D.C. 20036 Teresa Pitts
Washington Utilities & Transportation
Commission
P. O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

James Bradford Ramsay
National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20423

Brian Roberts California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Nes Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3298

Pamela Szymczak
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20036

Richard J. Johnson, Brian T. Grogan Minnesota Independent Coalition Moss & Barnett 4800 Northwest Center 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

Jeanine Poltronieri
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20036

Jonathan Reel Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 257 Washington, D.C. 20036

Gary Seigel
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N. W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20036

James Blaszak, Kevin S. DiLallo AD HOC Telecommunications Users Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby 1300 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Angela J. Campbell, Ilene R. Penn, John Podesta Institute for Public Representation Georgetown University Law Center 600 New Jersey Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Robert S. Tongren, Andrea M. Kelsey, David C. Bergmann, Richard W. Pace Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel 77 South High Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550 David A. Irwin
ITCs, Inc.
Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwald, P.C.
1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

William H. Smith, Jr., Chief Bureau Rate & Safety Evaluation Iowa Utilities Board Lucas State Office Building Des Moines, IA 50319

Dr. Barbara O'Connor, Mary Gardiner Jones, Henry Geller, Samuel A. Simon Alliance for Public Technology 901 15th Street, Suite 230 Washington, D.C. 20005

Ray Taylor President-Association of Community College Trustees One Dupont Circle, N.W. Suite 410 Washington, D.C. 20036

Kenneth Lein, Manager
Winnebago Cooperative Telephone
Association
704 East Main
Lake Mills, Iowa 50450

Charles H. Helein
America's Carriers Telecommunication
Association
Helein & Associates, P.C.
8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 70
McClean, Virginia 22101

Charles H. Carrathrs III
Richard D. Gary
Virginia Rural Telephone Companies
Hunton & Williams
951 East Byrd Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Honorable Albert Vann
National Black Caucus of State
Legislators Telecommunications &
Energy Committee
New York State Assembly
Legislative Office Building #422
Albany, New York 12248

National Association of Development Organizations 444 North Capitol Street, N.W. Suite 630 Washington, D.C. 20001 Paul Rodgers, Charles D. Gray National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners 1201 Constitution Avenue, Suite 1102 P. O. Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044 Illona A. Jeffcoat-Sacco, Director Public Utilities Division State of North Dakota 600 E. Boulevard Bismarch, North Dakota 58505-0480

Michael J. Nowick Executive Secretary/Treasurer Minnesota Telephone Association 1650 World Trade Center 30 East 7th Street St. Paul, MN 55101-4901

Alison M. Hughes, MPA
Associate Director
University of Arizona Health Sciences
Center
2501 East Elm Street
Tucson, Arizona 85716

Marc A. Stone
Manager-Regulatory/Legislative Affairs
Fred Williamson & Associates, Inc.
2921 East 91st Street, Suite 200
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74137

Virginia J. Taylor, Richard A. Elbrecht
California Department of Consumer Affairs
400 R Street, Suite 3090
Sacramento, CA 95814-6200

Michael Casserly, Executive Director Council of the Great City Schools 1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 702 Washington, D.C. 20004

Margot Smiley Humphrey
Century Telephone Enterprises, Inc.
and TDS Telecommunications Corporation
Koteen & Naftalin
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036

Ronald L. Plesser, James H. Halpert,
Mark J. O'Connor
Commercial Internet Exchange
Association
Piper & Marbury L.L.P.
1200 19th Street, N.W., Seventh Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael S. Fox
Director-Regulatory Affairs
John Staurulakis, Inc.
Telecommunications Consultants
6315 Seabrook Road
Seabrook, Maryland 20706

Margot Smiley Humphrey NRTA Koteen & Naftalin, LLP 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036 Joseph P. Markoski, James M. Finkl Information Technology Association of America and Electronic Messaging Association
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P. O. Box 407
Washington, D. C. 20044

Mark J. Golden
Vice President - Industry Affairs
Robert R. Cohen
Personal Communications Industry
Association
500 Montgomery Street, Suite 700
Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

Jeffery L. Sheldon, Sean A. Stokes UTC 1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1140 Washington, D.C. 20036

Jeffery H. Smith Keystone-Arthur Telephone Company P. O. Box 240 Keyston, Nebraska 69144

Philip L. Verveer, Sue D. Blumenfeld, Thomas Jones
Tele-Communications, Inc.
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
Three LaFayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert M. Halperin The State of Alaska Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Dale White Commercial Services Manager Churchill County Telephone & Telegraph P. O. Box 1390 50 West Williams Avenue Fallon, Nevada 89406

Deborah S. Waldbaum Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel 1580 Logan Street, Suite 610 Denver, Colorado 80203

Rachel B. Ferber
Vice President-Assistant General
Counsel
360 Communications Company
8725 Higgins Road
Chicago, IL 60631

J. Kent Jerome Secretary-Treasurer Iowa Telephone Association 1601 - 22 Street, Suite 290 West Des Moines, Iowa 50266 L. Jerry Mitchell
Mon-Cre Telephone Cooperative
Jackson Thornton & Company, CPAs
P. O. Box 96
Montgomery, AL 36101-0096

R. Glenn Rhyne, Manager Research Department State of South Carolina Public Service Commission P. O. Drawer 11649 Columbia, S.C. 29203

Kathryn Marie Krause U.S. West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036 Jim Ftasimorons
North Dakota Department of Health
600 E. Boulevard Avenue
Bismarck, N.D. 58505-0200

Randolph J. May, Timothy J. Cooney Compuserve Incorporated Sutherland, Asbill & Brenna 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2404 L. Jerry Mitchell Bledsoe Telephone Cooperative Jackson Thornton & Company, CPAs P. O. Box 96 Montgomery, AL 36101-0096

Benjamin Peres, Gerald M. Zuckerman, Mark J. Becker
Hispanic Information and Telecommunications Network, Inc.
Abacus Communications Company
1801 Columbia Road, N.W., Suite 101
Washington, D.C. 20009

Chris Frentrup MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert D. Carlitz, Eugene F. Hastings, II, Mario Zinga, Information Renaissance c/o Anthony P. Picadio 600 Grant Street, Suite 4680 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 James R. Hobson National Emergency Number Association Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Maser, P.C. 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 750 Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 Mary Ellen Emmons, President
The Alaska Library Association
P. O. Box 81084
Fairbanks, Alaska 99708

David J. Strom
American Federation of Teachers
555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Lee Green, RN
Clinical Coordinator
High Plains Rural Health Network
218 East Kiowa Avenue
P. O. Box 575
Ft. Morgan, Colorado 80701

Jeffrey P. Solsom, LCSW, J.D.
Director
Southwest Montana Telepschiatry
Network
50 S. Last Chance Gulch
Helena, Montana 59601

Alfred M. Mamlet, Marc A. Paul Kinko's Inc. Steptoe & Johnson Llt 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Cheryl A. Tritt, James A. Casey
Cheyenne River Sioux Telephone
Authority
2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006

Henry m. Rivera, Larry S. Solomon J. Thomas Nolan Metricom, Inc. Ginsburg, Feldman and Bress, Chartered 1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 William H. Smith, Jr., Chief Bureau Rate & Safety Evaluation Iowa Utilities Board Lucas State Office Building Des Moines, IA 50319

Richard M. Tettelbaum Associate General Counsel Citizens Utilities Company 1400 16th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D. C. 20036 Judith St. Ledger-Roty Stefan M. Lopatkiewicz Reed Smith Shaw & McClay 1301 K Street, N.W., East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005 Mark C. Rosenblum, Peter H. Jacoby, Judy Sello AT&T Corporation Room 3244J1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, N.J. 07920 Robert G. Pennington, Program Director Mountaineer Doctor Tele Vision-MDTV Robert C. Byrd Health Sciences Center West Virginia University Morgantown, WV 26506

Carl TIC. Gutierrez Governor of Guam Office of the Governor P. O. Box 2950 Agana, Guam 96910 Richard A. Muscat, Dan Morales, Jorge Vega, Thomas P. Perkins, Jr., Rupaco T. Gonzalex Public Agency Representation Section P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, TX 78711-2548

Delbert D. Smith, Stefan M. Lopatkiewicz, Brigitte L. Adams U.S. Distance Learning Association Reed Smith Shaw & McClay 1301 K Street, N.W., East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

J. Manning Lee Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Teleport Communications Group, Inc. Two Teleport Drive, Suite 300 Staten Island, New York 10311

Philip L. Verveer, Brian A. Finley Guam Public Utilities Commission Willkie Farr & Gallagher Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Gene P. Belardi, Vice President MobileMedia Communications, Inc. 2101 Wilson Blvd., Suite 935 Arlington, VA 22201

Mary E. Newmeyer
Federal Affairs Advisor
Alabama Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 991
Montgomery, AL 36101

John H. Gengler Principal Richardton-Taylor High School Richardton, ND 58652 Michael T. Skrivan Harris, Skrivan & Associates, LLC 8801 S. Yale, Suite 220 Tulsa, OK 74137 Cheryl L. Parino, Chairman Public Service Commission of Wisconsin 610 North Whitney Way P. O. Box 7854 Madison, WI 53707-7854

Lawrence W. Katz
Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies
1320 North Court House Road
Eight Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

Howard J. Symons, Jennifer A. Purvis Continental Cablevision, Inc. Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Pope, P.C. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20004

Jeffery P. Johnson Deputy State Librarian Library of Michigan 717 W. Allegan Street Lansing, MI 48909

Robert A. Hart IV Hart Engineers 4615 North Blvd. Baton Roughe, Louisiana 70806

Jeffery Blumenfeld, Glenn B. Manishin, Christy C. Kunin, Christine A. Mailloux Netscape Communications Corporation 1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036 Kofi Asiedu Ofori, Counsel Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ 2000 M Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036

Tom Udall, Richard Weiner New Mexico Attorney General P. O. Drawer 1508 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 Rich Gross
Instructional Telecommunications
Council
RDR Associates, Inc.
One Dupont Circle, N.W., Suite 410
Washington, D.C. 20036